UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,805	01/19/2005	Andrew Lennard Lewis	Q83534	5416
23373 7590 07/31/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			PURDY, KYLE A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON	800 INGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
77151111101011, DO 20057		1609		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/506,805	LEWIS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kyle A. Purdy	1609
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	l	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 37-56</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 37-56</u> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirer	nent
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	=	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r	oplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· = /	/Mail Date formal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-28, 37-44, drawn to an aqueous composition comprising an amphiphilic block copolymer having a hydrophilic block and hydrophobic block.

Group II, claim(s) 29-35, 45-56, drawn to a method of forming an aqueous composition.

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:
 - The special technical feature of Group I is an aqueous composition comprising
 an amphiphilic block polymer copolymer having a hydrophilic block and
 hydrophobic block. The special technical feature of Group II is a method of
 forming an aqueous composition comprising an amphiphilic block copolymer and
 a biologically active compound.
 - The common feature of a amphiphilic block copolymer and a biologically active compound cannot qualify as a special technical feature as it does not provide a contribution over the prior art because it is disclosed by WO/9301221.

Art Unit: 1609

5. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

• (I) hydrophilic block of polymer

• (II) ethylenically unsaturated (co)monomers

• (III) zwitterionic monomer

• (IV) biologically active molecule

• (V) hydrophobic block of polymer

Election is required as follows:

6. If Applicant elects the invention of **Group I**, Applicant will be required to elect

from the following patentably distinct species described above. Currently, claims 1-28

and 37-44 are generic.

7. Applicant must elect, for the purposes of examination, a single disclosed

species of compound of given structure wherein all atoms and bonds are disclosed.

Furthermore, Applicant must show all atoms and bonds that are necessary to define

said compound of provided general formula. Applicant must not use generic notation

like R, R', R₂, etc. when defining the structure because these labels represent multiple

chemical groups and thus more than one compound would be erroneously selected.

Art Unit: 1609

8. See claims and specification for the species corresponding to I, II, III, IV and V from above.

- 9. Applicants are cautioned that the election of a specific compound not specifically disclosed as filed may be considered **new matter**.
- 10. If Applicant elects the invention of **Group II**, Applicant will be required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Currently, claim 29-35 and 45-56 are generic.
- 11. Applicant must elect, for the purposes of examination, a **single disclosed species** of compound of given structure wherein all atoms and bonds are disclosed. Furthermore, Applicant must show all atoms and bonds that are necessary to define said compound of provided general formula. Applicant must not use generic notation like R, R², R₂, X, Y, etc. when defining the structure because these labels represent multiple chemical groups and thus more than one compound would be erroneously selected.
- 12. See claims and specification for species corresponding to I, II, III, IV and V from above.
- 13. Applicants are cautioned that the election of a specific compound not specifically disclosed as filed may be considered **new matter**.
- 14. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

Art Unit: 1609

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 15. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 16. The following claim(s) are generic: 1-35 and 37-56.
- 17. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the reasons described above.
- 18. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added.
- 19. The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/506,805

Art Unit: 1609

added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Page 6

20. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Rejoinder Notice

- 21. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.
- 22. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 1609

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Correction of Inventorship

23. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyle A. Purdy whose telephone number is 571-270-3504. The examiner can normally be reached from 9AM to 5PM.
- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Ardin Marschel and Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0718 or 571-272-0562, respectively. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kyle A. Purdy Unit 1609 July 12 2007

> ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Marsh 1/25/07